

# **TROPICAL AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE**

Journal homepage: http://www.pertanika.upm.edu.my/

# **Development of Polyculture Engineering Technology on Milkfish and Mud Crab Farming**

Istiyanto Samidjan<sup>1\*</sup>, Diana Rachmawati<sup>1</sup> and Putut Har Riyadi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Central Java 50275, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Fisheries Product Technology, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Central Java 50275, Indonesia

# ABSTRACT

The current study aimed to evaluate the role of polyculture engineering technology on milkfish and mud crab farming and observe the growth and survivability of different milkfish and mud crab combinations. The study used milkfish, which was received artificial feed containing 35 % protein content enriched with vitamin E (3%/biomass/day). The study used completely randomized design with 4 treatments (n = 3): T1 = 5 milkfish + 5 mud crab, T2 = 10 milkfish + 5 mud crab, T3 = 5 milkfish + 10 mud crab, T4 = 10 milkfish + 10 mud crab. The following parameters were measured: absolute weight growth, survival rate, feed conversion rate (FCR), and water quality. The difference in the density of milkfish and mud crabs significantly affected (p < 0.05) the growth and survivability of milkfish and mud crabs. The polyculture cultivation system exhibited a significant increase in absolute weight growth of milkfish and mud crabs, which is the highest increase found in T4 treatment (187.85 g ± 0.9 g and 60.65 g ± 0.95 g, respectively). Meanwhile, the survival rate of milkfish and mud crab was 95% ± 0.3% and 95% ± 2.3%, respectively,

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 11 October 2021 Accepted: 7 January 2022 Published: 22 March 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47836/pjtas.45.2.03

E-mail addresses:

istiyanto\_samidjan@yahoo.com (Istiyanto Samidjan) dianarachmawati1964@gmail.com (Diana Rachmawati) putut.riyadi@live.undip.ac.id (Putut Har Riyadi) \*Corresponding author followed by a lower FCR at T4 (1.54  $\pm$  0.10). The water quality remained good for fish and mud crabs to survive. Milkfish and mud crab polyculture greatly affect the abundance of phytoplankton, demonstrating good community structure.

*Keywords*: Growth, milkfish, mud crabs, polyculture, survivability

ISSN: 1511-3701 e-ISSN: 2231-8542

© Universiti Putra Malaysia Press

# INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the problem in cultivating milkfish and mud crab is high mortality (80 % to 95 %). It is due to bacterial attack through nutritional intake of feed such as fish waste and the low quality of environmental water (Samidjan & Rachmawati, 2016). Recently, aquaculture production has increased dramatically, as evidenced by a model structure of biomass production that could boost biomass production by modifying harvesting techniques (Suhartono & Istiyanto, 2014). Furthermore, because of its high economic value, which can be sold abroad, the mangrove crab is one of the most crucial cultivated species globally, particularly in Asia (Samidjan & Rachmawati, 2016).

According to Samidjan and Rachmawati (2016), polyculture black tiger shrimp, fish, and seaweed aquaculture produced poor results. Similarly, several other studies in polyculture cultivation of milkfish, black tiger shrimp, vannamei shrimp, seaweed, and mud crab have led to the development of fisheries in Indonesia. The use of aquacultural technology and the expansion of mud crab farming (*Scylla paramamosain*). It used battery plastic models in ponds to promote the export trade fishery to enhance productiveness. Furthermore, Samidjan and Rachmawati (2016) investigated the innovation of polyculture technology through biofilter systems and different stocking densities of milkfish and white shrimp in water quality improvement, leading to a higher performance of milkfish in the feasibility of white shrimp and milkfish life. Therefore, the current study sought to evaluate the role of technology engineering in polyculture milkfish and mud crab farming and observe the growth and survivability of different milkfish and mud crab combinations.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Preparation of Milkfish and Mud Crab**

This study used milkfish [Chanos chanos (Forsskal, 1775)] with 5 cm  $\pm$  0.025 cm in length and mud crab [Scylla paramamosain (Estampador, 1949)] with 4.85 cm  $\pm$  1.02 cm in length. The number of fish seeds used was five individuals of milkfish (MF)/m<sup>2</sup> to ten individuals of milkfish (MF)/m<sup>2</sup> and between five individuals of mud crab (MC)/ m<sup>2</sup> to 1,200 m<sup>2</sup> and ten individuals of mud  $crab/m^2$  to 1,200 m<sup>2</sup> pond culture. The fish were then received artificial feed containing 35 % protein supplemented with vitamin E (0.9 g/kg feed and 3% feed/biomass/day) (Table 1). Pure protein and vitamin E was purchased from Toko Kimia Indrasari and Sarika Majapahit Pharmacy, Semarang, respectively.

#### Polyculture Engineering of Milkfish and Mud Crab

Table 1
Test feed formulation

Raw material of feed composition	(g/100g)
Vitamin E	0.9
Fish flour	34.3
Soy flour	33.3
Corn starch	9.4
Bran flour	8.1
Dextrin	9.2
Fish oil	1.31
Corn oil	1.31
Mineral vitamin	1.1
Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC)	1.1
Total	100
Proximate analysis	
Protein (%)	35
Lipid (%)	11.5
Nitrogen free extract (NFE) (%)	33.75
Energy (cal/g)	300.05
Ratio of energy/protein (E/P) (kcal/g)	8.57

### **Experimental Design**

The current study used a completely randomized design with 4 treatments (n = 3): T1 = 5 MF + 5 MC (feeding five individuals of milkfish/m<sup>2</sup> and five individuals of mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>), T2 = 10 MF + 5 MC (feeding ten individuals of milkfish/m<sup>2</sup> and five individuals of mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>), T3 = 5 MF + 10 MC (feeding five individuals of mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>), T4 = 10 MF + 10 MC (feeding ten individuals of mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>), T4 = 10 MF + 10 MC (feeding ten individuals/m<sup>2</sup> milkfish and ten individuals of mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>).

# **Plankton Abundance**

**Diversity Index.** Diversity index was calculated using Shannon Wiener's diversity index (Spellerberg & Fedor, 2003):

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i ln p_i \tag{1}$$

where:

H': Shannon Wiener's diversity index

p<sub>i</sub> : Individuals/Total individual (ni/N)

- ln : The natural logarithm
- S : Number of species

**Uniformity Index.** The following formula below was used to calculate the uniformity index (Ulfah et al., 2019):

$$E = \frac{H'}{Hmax} \tag{2}$$

where:

H': Shannon-Wiener diversity index
H<sub>max</sub>: Maximum H'(ln S)
S: Number of species

**Dominance Index.** The following formula below was used to calculate the dominance index (Samidjan et al., 2020):

$$D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} ni(ni-1)}{N(N-1)}$$
(3)

where:

D : Dominance index

n<sub>i</sub> : Number of individuals

N : Total number of individuals

# **Growth Parameter**

**Absolute Growth Rates.** The absolute growth rate was determined using the following formula (Samidjan et al., 2020):

$$W = W_t - W_0$$

where:
W : Absolute growth rate
W<sub>t</sub> : Final weight (g)
W<sub>0</sub> : Initial weight (g)

**Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR).** The feed conversion ratio was measured using the following formula (Samidjan et al., 2020):

$$FCR = \frac{F}{(W_t + d) - W_0}$$

where:
FCR: Food conversion ratio
F : Food consumed (g)
W<sub>0</sub> : Initial weight (g)
Wt : Final weight (g)

**Survival Rate.** The following formula was used to calculate the survival rate of animals (Samidjan et al., 2020):

$$SR = \frac{N_t}{N_0} \times 100\%$$

where:

SR : Survival rate

 $N_0$ : Initial number of animals

 $N_t$ : Final number of animals

# Water Quality Parameter

Water pH and dissolved oxygen were measured using Jenway 3510 standard digital pH meter (Jenway, United Kingdom) and Jenway 970 dissolved oxygen meter (Jenway, United Kingdom). In addition, the ammonia level, temperature, and salinity were measured using a HI-8633 portable conductivity meter (Hanna Instruments Inc., USA).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were included absolute growth of milkfish (g), absolute growth of mud crab (g), the survival rate of milkfish (%), the survival rate of mud crab (%), and FCR of milkfish and mud crab. Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) and analyzed using analysis of variance

(ANOVA), and Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) with p < 0.01 was used as statistical significance.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The highest absolute weight growth of milkfish and mud crabs was detected in

T4 treatment, 187.85 g  $\pm$  0.9 g and 60.65 g  $\pm$  0.95 g, respectively. In addition, the survival rate of milkfish was 95%  $\pm$  0.3% and 95%  $\pm$  2.3% for mud crab, while lower feed conversion (FCR) of T4 was 1.54  $\pm$  0.10 (Table 2).

#### Table 2

Absolute growth of milkfish and mud crab

	Treatments in polyculture			
Parameter	T1 (5 MF + 5 MC)	T2 (10 MF + 5 MC)	T3 (5 MF + 10 MC)	T4 (10 MF + 10 MC)
Absolute growth of milkfish (g)	$180.18\pm3.14^{\text{b}}$	$184.27\pm0.49^{\text{ab}}$	$185.18\pm0.61^{\text{a}}$	$187.85\pm0.9^{\rm a}$
Absolute growth of mud crab (g)	$47.85\pm0.95^{\circ}$	$54.45\pm0.62^{\text{b}}$	$58.76\pm0.75^{\mathtt{a}}$	$60.65\pm0.95^{\rm a}$
Survival rate milkfish (%)	$81.67 \pm 1.81^{\text{b}}$	$85.40\pm4.15^{\text{b}}$	$94.07\pm2.16^{\mathtt{a}}$	$95\pm0.3^{\rm a}$
Survival rate of mud crab (%)	$78.13 \pm 1.10^{\text{b}}$	$81.0\pm3.12^{\text{b}}$	$93.43 \pm 1.0^{\rm a}$	$95 \pm 2.3^{a}$
FCR of milkfish and mud crab	$3.45\pm0.43^{\rm a}$	$2.89\pm0.48^{\rm a}$	$2.09\pm0.33^{\text{b}}$	$1.54\pm0.10^{\rm b}$

Note.

T1 = 5 MF + 5 MC (feeding 5 milkfish/m<sup>2</sup> and 5 mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>)

T2 = 10 MF + 5 MC (feeding 10 milkfish/m<sup>2</sup> and 5 mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>)

T3 = 5 MF + 10 MC (feeding 5 milkfish/m<sup>2</sup> and 10 mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>)

T4 = 10 MF + 10 MC (feeding 10 milkfish/m<sup>2</sup> and 10 mud crab/m<sup>2</sup>)

MF = Milkfish; MC = Mud crab; Data were expressed as values  $\pm$  SD and analyzed using analysis of variance (p<0.01). Different superscript letters in the same rows indicated highly significant differences between group treatments (p<0.01)

#### Absolute Weight Growth of Milkfish

T4 polyculture exhibited the milkfish's highest absolute weight growth (Table 2). This feeding treatment enhanced the absolute weight growth of milkfish (187.85 g  $\pm$  0.9 g), which is higher than the T1, T2, and T3 group (p < 0.01). The used polyculture milkfish and mud crab enhance growth and improve absolute growth.

The artificial feeding of milkfish containing 35% protein enriched with vitamin E increased the absolute weight growth of milkfish from 179.5 g to 185.25 g (Agbayani, 2001; Gaillard, 2010; Martan, 2008; Primavera, 2006). Changes in the number of cells that make up human tissue and morphologically changing observable growth are signs of physical growth. When the energy requirements for metabolism and body growth have been met, growth will occur (Araújo-Silva et al., 2014; Chopin, 2013; Davis, 2011; Martan, 2008; Samidjan & Rachmawati, 2018; Siskey & Baldwin, 2011; Yuan et al., 2010). It had also happened when the quantities of feed consumed were more than what was required for body growth, and the fish used it as an energy source (Lall, 2000).

Absolute Growth of Mud Crabs. The polyculture technique of rearing milkfish and mud crabs in the same pond with each plot of 100 m<sup>2</sup> had a strong influence on absolute mud crab weight (p < 0.1) (Table 1). T4 group had the highest absolute weight of mud crab (60.65 g ± 0.025 g).

Furthermore, a highly significant difference was found in mud crab absolute weight growth (p < 0.01). It was related to the simultaneous maintenance of mud crabs and milkfish, which can grow well and thus have an excellent synergistic relationship. Adding vitamin E-enriched artificial feed to the diet resulted in optimal growth because it serves as an antioxidant to reduce highly unsaturated fatty acid (HUFA) oxidation. As a result, HUFA availability in the feed can be conserved (Agbayani, 2001; Gaillard, 2010; Xie et al., 2011), and HUFA oxidation in the cell membrane or intercellular free radicals can be eliminated. Indirect feed contributes to the growth and survival rate of metabolism in addition to vitamin E enrichment (Agbayani, 2001; Davis, 2011; Gaillard, 2010; Yang & Fitzsimons, 2002).

Mud crabs have a remarkable capacity to absorb vitamin E, allowing them to gain weight. Vitamin E could prevent oxidative damage, such as carotene degradation in the gut, by performing as an antioxidant (Asadujjaman et al., 2015; Ghosh et al., 2011; Ihsan, 2012; Malleo, 2011; Miroslav et al., 2011; Monwar et al., 2017; Nunes et al., 2003; Sun & Boyd, 2013; Venugopal et al., 2012). Vitamin E has been shown to reduce cell membrane damage, allowing metabolic processes to run more smoothly and nutrients to enter cells appropriately (Agbayani, 2001; Gaillard, 2010; Solomon & Ezigbo, 2010). Herbivorous fish are expected to possess more vitamin E than carnivorous fish (Laxmappa & Khrisna, 2015). The feed requirement for red sea

bream was 442 mg/kg of feed (Ali et al., 2009).

An increase in body size is referred to as growth. The rate of absolute weight growth on the mud crab began with the rate of carapace (shell) width and length growth (Agbayani, 2001; Gaillard, 2010). Because the body cannot grow linearly, absolute weight growth may be critical for mud crabs. The mud crab can grow when the old shell is removed and replaced with a new and larger shell. The process of this change was called the molting process. Molting crabs have been discontinued due to their hard and inelastic shells, as the molting process softens the shell (Agbayani, 2001; Gaillard, 2010).

Survival Rate of Milkfish and Mud Crab. The maximum survival rate of fish maintained at T4 treatment was  $95\% \pm$ 2.3% (Table 2). The milkfish had a good survival rate due to the high-water quality in the maintained fish polyculture system. It was supported by Barman et al. (2012), who mentioned that adequate water quality in polyculture might boost the survival rate up to 80%–90%. Therefore, water quality in fish farming could affect survival, proliferation, and growth. T4 treatment had the highest mud crab survival rate (95% ± 2.3 %) (Table 2).

**Food Conversion Ratio (FCR).** In the polyculture milkfish and mud crab farming system, the feed conversion ratio is crucial because it decides whether the feed can improve the growth of fish and mud crabs

still growing well (Davis, 2011). The feed conversion values can also determine how much the feed broadened the mud crab or kept fish body. A lower feed conversion rate (FCR) at T4 resulted in a higher absolute weight of high growth, implying a more efficient feed. Table 2 shows that artificial feed with a reduced FCR value for T4 given to the polyculture system effectively increased mud crab growth.

The feed conversion ratio indicates how many grams of feed are required to create one gram of milkfish bodyweight. Feed efficiency is obtained by calculating the FCR as the value consumed per fish weight unit. A good quality feed has a reduced conversion ratio (FCR), which improves the feed's performance and improves absolute growth (Gaillard, 2010). It was determined as a feed conversion index based on total feed used for growth, with lower values indicating higher feed conversion. It was efficient when the feed conversion value was less than 3. Vitamin E supplements in the diet may potent antioxidants, assisting in preserving vitamins (Agbayani, 2001; Gaillard & Juliette, 2010). The proper nutrients in the feed have an impact on the feed utilization rate because it will help the milkfish and mud crab grow faster in polyculture (Ali et al., 2009; De-shang & Shuang-lin, 2000; Jamerlan et al., 2014; Jaspe et al., 2011; Laxmappa & Khrisna, 2015; Solomon & Ezigbo, 2010).

**The Abundance of Phytoplankton.** Bacillariophyceae (8 genera), Chlorophyceae (1 genus), Cyanophyceae (1 genus), and Dinophyceae (1 genus) were detected in aquaculture systems polyculture of milkfish and mud crab (Tables 3 and 4). Furthermore, the study found 118.75 individu/L of phytoplankton species, which is higher than milkfish and mud crab. According to Dolgov and Prokopchuk (2018), the number of phytoplankton is higher than in the polyculture system of milkfish and mud crab using biofloc in ponds. The constant availability of nutritional components through the feed is responsible for the high percentage of phytoplankton. The increase in genus and individuals is related to feeding and fertilizer (Napiórkowska-Krzebietke, 2017).

Table 3

Plankton genus obs	served during	the study
--------------------	---------------	-----------

Treatment	Phytoplankton genera	
T1	Ceratium, Coscinodiscus, Bacteriastrum, Chaetoceros, Geotrichia,	
(5 MF + 5 MC)	Navicula, Odontella, Oscillatoria, Pleurosigma	
T2	Chaetoceros, Ceratium, Coscinodiscus, Bacteriastrum, Geotrichia,	
(10 MF + 5 MC)	Navicula, Odontella, Oscillatoria, Thalassionema	
T3	Coscinodiscus, Geotrichia, Navicula, Bacteriastrum, Chaetoceros,	
(5 MF + 10 MC	Ceratium, Pleurosigma, Thalassionema	
T4 (10 MF + 10 MC)	Bacteriastrum, Oscillatoria, Pleurosigma, Thalassionema, Chaetoceros, Ceratium, Coscinodiscus, Geotrichia, Navicula, Odontella	

Note. MF = Milkfish; MC = Mud crab

#### Table 4

The diversity index (H'), uniformity (E) and dominance (D) phytoplankton

Treatments	Number of individuals (individu/L)		Index	
		Diversity (H')	Uniformity (E)	Dominance (D)
T1 (5 MF + 5 MC)	112	1.093	0.765	0.725
T2 (10 MF + 5 MC)	115	1.072	0.753	0.606
T3 (5 MF + 10 MC)	119	1.804	0.785	0.595
T4 (10 MF + 10 MC)	129	1.907	0.895	0.578
Mean	118.75	1.469	0.7995	0.626

Note. MF = Milkfish; MC = Mud crab

This study found that an increase in phytoplankton abundance caused by several factors, such as planktonic genera during the dry season, could enhance the abundance of some genera. During the rainy season, it can raise the phytoplankton abundance. Temperature, nutrient concentration, predation of milkfish and mud crab, pH, disease, weather, phytoplankton, light, competence between species, and algae toxins influence the phytoplankton abundance (Sun & Boyd, 2013). The low abundance of phytoplankton grows very densely simultaneously (Kwon et al., 2018). The addition of feed significantly affected the cultivation of milkfish and mud crab polyculture systems within ponds (p < 0.05).

The milkfish and mud crab have an impact on phytoplankton abundance and community structure. Phytoplankton as substitute feed resulted in decreased feed intake without declining feed ratio (Tan et al., 2016). Therefore, FCR can predict the feed required for phytoplankton and seaweed maintenance. Similarly, adding natural food and other feed will reduce FCR values close to or equal 1 (Samidjan et al., 2019). According to Table 4, the diversity index (H') in T1, T2, T3, and T4 treatments were 1.093, 1.072, 1.804, and 1.907, respectively. The average diversity value was 1.469 (H' >1). The plankton conditions in pond waters are shown to be relatively good. This result indicates that the community's condition (plankton, milkfish, and mud crab) has remained generally steady as the pond's environment changes. If H' is less than 1, the biota community is unstable (Basmi, 2000). The biota community is classified as moderately stable if H' is between 1–3 and as stable if H' is more than 3.

Table 4 shows that the uniformity index of T1, T2, T3, and T4 treatment was 0.765, 0.753, 0.785, and 0.895, respectively. The average uniformity index was 0.7995, indicating that the number of individuals in each genus is relatively similar. If E is greater than 0.75, the uniformity value is high, while the value of E is less than 0.75, the uniformity value is low (Table 4). The dominance index of T1, T2, T3, and T4 treatment was 0.725, 0.606, 0.595, and 0.578, respectively. The average dominance index was 0.626, suggesting that no phytoplankton genus dominates the other genus. According to Ali et al. (2009), the dominance index ranges from 0 to 1, with zero indicating no genus dominating the other genus in the biota community structure.

Water Quality. Water quality maintenance for milkfish and mud crab polyculture media was crucial for cultivation success. Table 5 revealed that the dissolved oxygen (4.87 mg/L to 6.25 mg/L), temperature (27.5 °C to 31.25 °C), salinity (22 g/L to 28.5 g/L), pH (7.5 to 8.5), and ammonia (0.02 mg/L to 0.256 mg/L) could support fish life and mangrove crabs cultivated in polyculture.

Parameters	Results	Reference (Sun & Boyd, 2013)
Dissolve oxygen (mg/L)	4.87 to 6.25	$4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$
Temperature (°C)	27.5 to 31.25	26.5 to 35 °C
Salinity (g/L)	22 to 28.5	15 to 30 ppt
pH	7.5 to 8.5	7.5 to 8.7
Ammonia (mg/L)	0.02 to 0.256	$< 1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$

#### Table 5

Water quality parameters in polyculture system of milkfish and mud crabs

#### CONCLUSION

The study revealed that the difference in the density of milkfish and mud crabs exhibited a significant effect (p < 0.05) on the growth and survivability of milkfish and mud crabs. The polyculture cultivation system showed a significant increase in absolute weight growth of milkfish and mud crabs, which is the highest increase found in T4 treatment  $(187.85 \text{ g} \pm 0.9 \text{ g} \text{ and } 60.65 \text{ g} \pm 0.95 \text{ g},$ respectively). Meanwhile, the survival rate of milkfish and mud crab was  $95\% \pm 0.3\%$ and 95 %  $\pm$  2.3%, respectively, followed by a lower FCR at T4 ( $1.54 \pm 0.10$ ). The water quality remained good for fish and mud crabs to survive. Milkfish and mud crab polyculture significantly affect the abundance of phytoplankton, demonstrating a good community structure.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Prof. Ir. Dr. Nizam, the Director of Research and Community Service (DP2M) at the Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology; Prof. Ir. Tri Winarni Agustini, Dean of Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences; and Prof. Dr. Jamari, the Chairman of Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat (LPPM). Finally, Mr. H. Chambali, for providing facilities on the ponds study.

### REFERENCES

- Agbayani, R. F. (2001). Production economics and marketing of mud crabs in the Philippines. Asian Fisheries Science, 14(2), 201-210. https://doi. org/10.33997/j.afs.2001.14.2.010
- Ali, M. A., Hossain, G. S., Biswas, M. M. R., Barman, S. K., & Huq, K. A. (2009). Polyculture and integrated culture pattern of freshwater prawn in fresh to hyposaline water. *International Journal* of Sustainable Crop Production, 4(4), 23–27.
- Araújo-Silva, S. L., Moraes, M. D. A. B., do Carmo, C. F., Osti, J. A. S., Vaz-dos-Santos, A. M., & Mercante, C. T. J. (2014). Effluent of a polyculture system (tilapias and shrimps): Assessment by mass balance of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 5(10), 797–802. https://doi. org/10.4236/jep.2014.510081
- Asadujjaman, M., Biswas, S., Manirujjaman, M., Rahman, M., Hossain, M. A., & Islam, M.

A. (2015). Determination of protein, lipid and carbohydrate contents of conventional and non-conventional feed items used in carp polyculture pond. *Journal of Aquaculture Research and Development*, 6(2), 1000301. https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.1000301

- Barman, U. K., Garg, S. K., & Bhatnagar, A. (2012). Effect of different salinity and ration levels on growth performance and nutritive physiology of milkfish, Chanos chanos (Forsskal) -Field and laboratory studies. *Fisheries and Aquaculture Journal*, 2012, FAJ-53. https://doi. org/10.4172/2150-3508.1000053
- Basmi, H. J. (2000). Planktonologi: Plankton sebagai bioindikator kualitas perairan [Planktonology: Plankton as a bioindicator of water quality]. Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Chopin, T. (2013). Aquaculture, integrated multitrophic (IMTA). In P. Christou, R. Savin, B. A. Costa-Pierce, I. Misztal, & C. B. A. Whitelaw (Eds.), *Sustainable food production* (pp. 195-217). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5797-8 173
- Davis, J. (2011). Polyculture opportunities in the mid-hills of Nepal for resource poor farmers: Ecological aquaculture studies and reviews. University of Rhode Island.
- De-shang, L., & Shuang-lin, D. (2000). Summary of studies on closed-polyculture of penaeid shrimp with fishes and moluscans. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology*, 18, 61–66. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF02842543
- Dolgov, A. V., & Prokopchuk I. P. (2018). Macrozooplankton of the Arctic - The Kara Sea in relation to environmental conditions: A comment on Dvoretsky and Dvoretsky (2017). *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 209, 205-207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2018.05.010
- Gaillard, J. (2010). Development of the mud crab sector in three provinces of the Philippines – Constraints and prospects. https://www.

doc-developpement-durable.org/file/Elevages/ crabes/development%20of%20the%20mud%20 crab%20sector\_Philippines.pdf

- Ghosh, D., Sathianandan, T. V., & Vijayagopal, P. (2011). Feed formulation using linear programming for fry of catfish, milkfish, tilapia, Asian sea bass, and grouper in India. *Journal of Applied Aquaculture*, 23(1), 85–101. https://doi. org/10.1080/10454438.2011.549781
- Ihsan, Y. N. (2012). Nutrient fluxes in multitrophic aquaculture systems [Master's thesis, University of Kiel]. University of Kiel Repository. https:// www.tierzucht.uni-kiel.de/de/forschung/ dissertationen-1/diss\_ihsan\_12.pdf
- Jamerlan, G. S., Coloso, R. M., & Golez, N. V. (2014). Intensive culture of milkfish Chanos chanos in polyculture with white shrimp Penaeus indicus or mud crab Scylla serrata in brackishwater earthen ponds. Aquaculture Department, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center.
- Jaspe, C. J., Caipang, C. M. A., & Elle, B. J. G. (2011). Polyculture of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* and milkfish, *Chanos chanos* as a strategy for efficient utilization of naturalfood production in ponds. *Animal Biology and Animal Husbandry*, 3(2), 96-104.
- Kwon, H. K., Kim, G., Lim, W. A., & Park, J. W. (2018). *In-situ* production of humic-like fluorescent dissolved organic matter during *Cochlodinium polykrikoides* blooms. *Estuarine*, *Coastal and Shelf Science*, 203, 119-126. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2018.02.013
- Lall, S. P. (2000, 19-22 November). Nutrition and health of fish. In L. E. Cruz-Suárez, D. Ricque-Marie, M. Tapia-Salazar, M. A. Olvera-Novoa, & R. Civera-Cerecedo (Eds.), Avances en Nutrición Acuícola V. Memorias del V Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola, Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico (pp. 13-23).
- Laxmappa, B., & Khrisna, S. M. (2015). Polyculture of the freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium*

Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci. 45 (2): 377 - 389 (2022)

*malcolmsonii* (H. M. Edwards) in Koilsagar reservoir of Mahabubnagar district (TS), India. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*, 2(4), 147–152.

- Malleo, J. (2011). Economics of mud crabs farming in Pangani: Is there significant income contribution to the coastal community?. https://vdocuments. net/economics-of-mud-crabs-farming-ineconomics-of-mud-crabs-farming-in-pangani. html?page=1
- Martan E. (2008). Polyculture of fishes in aquaponics and recirculating aquaculture. *Aquaponics Journal*, 48(1), 28–33.
- Miroslav, C., Dejana, T., Dragana, L., & Vesna, D. (2011). Meat quality of fish farmed in polyculture in carp ponds in Republic of Serbia. *Technologija Mesa*, 52(1), 67–68.
- Monwar, M. M., Ruhul, A. K. M., Sarker, A., & Das, N. G. (2017). Polyculture of seabass with tilapia for the utilization of brown fields in the coastal areas of Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*, 5(6), 104–109. https://doi.org/10.5897/IJFA2013.0347
- Napiórkowska-Krzebietke, A. (2017). Phytoplankton as a basic nutritional source in diets of fish. *Journal of Elementology*, 22(3), 831-841. https:// doi.org/10.5601/jelem.2016.21.4.1375
- Nunes, J. P., Ferreira, J. G., Gazeau, F., Lencart-Silva, J., Zhang, X. L., Zhu, M. Y., & Fang, J. G. (2003). A model for sustainable management of shellfish polyculture in coastal bays. *Aquaculture*, 219(1–4), 257–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0044-8486(02)00398-8
- Primavera, J. H. (2006). Overcoming the impacts of aquaculture on the coastal zone. Ocean and Coastal Management, 49(9–10), 531–545. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2006.06.018
- Samidjan, I., & Rachmawati, D. (2016). Effect of artificial feed on the growth and survival of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and milkfish

(*Chanos chanos*) in application of innovative polyculture technology. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(4–2), 91–98. https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.8187

- Samidjan, I., & Rachmawati, D. (2018). Engineering technology of fish farming floating nets cages on polka dot grouper (*Cromileptes altivelis*) used artificial feed enriched phytase enzyme. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 116, No. 1, p. 012010). IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/116/1/012010
- Samidjan, I., Dody, S., & Rachmawati, D. (2020). Biodiversity of phytoplankton from polyculture milkfish and white shrimp vanname pond culture waters, Pekalongan region. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 530, No. 1, p. 012040). IOP Publishing. https:// doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/530/1/012040
- Samidjan, I., Hutabarat, Y., Rachmawati, D., & Herawati, V. E. (2019). The effect of polyculture white shrimp vannamei and seaweed on different plant distance on growth, survival and phytoplankton abundance. *Aquacultura Indonesiana*, 20(2), 57-71. https://doi. org/10.21534/ai.v20i2.140
- Siskey, M., & Baldwin, R. (2011). Integrated multitrophic aquaculture - TECH 797. https://nsgl. gso.uri.edu/nhu/nhut11004.pdf
- Solomon, J. R., & Ezigbo, M. N. (2010). Polyculture of heteroclarias / tilapia under different feeding regimes. *New York Science Journal*, 3(10), 42–57.
- Spellerberg, I. F., & Fedor, P. J. (2003). A tribute to Claude Shannon (1916–2001) and a plea for more rigorous use of species richness, species diversity and the 'Shannon–Wiener' Index. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 12(3), 177–179. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1466-822X.2003.00015.x
- Suhartono, S. & Istiyanto, S. (2014). Dynamic model development to improve grouper cultivation

production in floating net cages in Karimun Java islands, Jepara. *Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 8(179), 8921–8928. https://doi. org/10.12988/ams.2014.48652

- Sun, W., & Boyd, C. E. (2013). Phosphorus and nitrogen budgets for inland, saline water shrimp ponds in Alabama. *Fisheries and Aquaculture Journal*, 4(1), 1000080. https://doi. org/10.4172/2150-3508.1000080
- Tan, S. N., Teng, S. T., Lim, H. C., Kotaki, Y., Bates, S. S., Leaw, C. P., & Lim, P. T. (2016). Diatom *Nitzschia navis-varingica* (Bacillariophyceae) and its domoic acid production from the mangrove environments of Malaysia. *Harmful Algae*, 60, 139-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. hal.2016.11.003
- Ulfah, M., Fajri, S. N., Nasir, M., Hamsah, K., & Purnawan, S. (2019). Diversity, evenness and dominance index reef fish in Krueng Raya Water, Aceh Besar. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 348, No. 1, p. 012074). IOP Publishing. https://doi. org/10.1088/1755-1315/348/1/012074

- Venugopal, G., Razvi, S. S. H., Babu, P. P. S., Reddy, P. R., Mohan, K. M., & Srinivasa, P. (2012). Performance evaluation of mud crab *Scylla serrata* (Forskal, 1775) in monoculture, monosex culture and polyculture. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India*, 54(2), 5–8.
- Xie, B., Jiang, W., & Yang, H. (2011). Growth performance and nutrient quality of Chinese shrimp *Penaeus chinensis* in organic polyculture with razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta* or hard clam *Meretrix meretrix*. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 17(6), 851–858.
- Yang, Y., & Fitzsimmons, K. (2002). *Tilapia shrimp* polyculture in Thailand. Asian Institute of Technology.
- Yuan, D., Yi, Y., Yakupitiyage, A., Fitzsimmons, K., & Diana, J. S. (2010). Effects of addition of red tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.) at different densities and sizes onproduction, water quality and nutrient recovery of intensive culture of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) in cement tanks. *Aquaculture*, 298(3-4), 226–238. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.11.011